Application of the nursery-role hypothesis to an estuarine fish

Richard T. Kraus1,*, David H. Secor2

1Department of Marine Biology, Texas A&M University. 5007 Avenue U, Galveston, Texas 77551, USA
2University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, One Williams Street, Solomons, Maryland 20688, USA

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we address a recent article by Beck et al. (2001, Bioscience 51:633–641), in which it was proposed that the value of a juvenile fish habitat could be most efficiently measured as the production of adults from each juvenile habitat on a per area basis. Based upon retrospective microchemical analysis of otoliths, we calculated this metric (nursery value) for tidal freshwater and brackish littoral habitats of an estuarine fish, white perch Morone americana, in the Patuxent River estuary, Maryland (USA). In dominant year-classes of the population, brackish habitats had the highest nursery value, whereas in all other year-classes, freshwater habitats had the highest nursery value. This result highlights the importance of inter-annual variability in habitat use, and consequently presents a challenging trade-off for applying the nursery-role hypothesis to facilitate habitat conservation and management. Despite this limitation, the nursery-role hypothesis provides an enriched and much needed perspective on population connectivity.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the foremost issues facing aquatic habitat managers and conservationists is how to prioritize actions among habitats. For species with complex life cycles (e.g. egg, larval, juvenile, and adult stages), priority is usually given to those habitats that can be identified as nurseries, but until recently, an explanation of what constitutes a nursery has been ambiguous (Beck et al. 2001). Beck et al. (2001) defined a nursery-role concept for marine and estuarine fishes and invertebrates, and formulated a hypothesis that provides a more objective and useful approach to evaluating habitats as nurseries. While their proposal has great intuitive appeal and has had a high impact (already cited >58 times in the primary literature), it has been difficult to test empirically. Here, we used otolith approaches to test Beck et al.’s (2001) nursery-role hypothesis, and we highlight important limitations and opportunities for the future implementation of this approach to nursery habitat assessment.

Beck et al. (2001) defined the nursery-role concept to emphasize production of adults per unit area of juvenile habitat. The nursery-role hypothesis is that some juvenile habitats contribute disproportionately to the production of individuals that recruit to adult populations’ and that nurseries are only a subset of juvenile habitats which lead to above average production of adults. Though, in general, the term nursery has been applied to all juvenile habitats (rather than a subset), Beck et al. (2001) stress that this use of the term provides no useful basis for conservation, enhancement, and restoration goals. Most importantly, this nursery-role concept provides a way to evaluate putative nurseries. To make this evaluation, one must quantify the percent contribution to productivity of adults per unit area of juvenile habitat (Beck et al. 2001). One way to measure this quantity is to estimate the percent of adults per unit area of juvenile habitat, a metric we call nursery value. We estimated the nursery value of juvenile habitats of a small (300 to 400 mm total length), moderately long-lived (3 to 12 yr) estuarine fish, white perch Morone americana, in Chesapeake Bay.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

To classify individual adult white perch according to the habitats they occupied as juveniles, we examined the trace element chemistry of otoliths (ear stones) using a method that Beck et al. (2001) identified as a promising way to test the nursery-role hypothesis. Much like tree-rings, the layered structure of otoliths can be used to retrospectively study the size and age of individual fish, and trace elements within the otolith layers are sometimes reflective of time spent in different habitats (Casselman 1982, Campana & Thorrold 2001). Data were available from the Patuxent River estuary, where we measured strontium in otoliths to infer the juvenile habitats from which adult white perch originated. We observed that, upon metamorphosis from a pelagic freshwater larval stage, juveniles settled in 2 distinct environments: tidal freshwater (salinity <3) and oligohaline brackish (salinity 3 to 15) habitats (Kraus & Secor 2004b). These patterns of habitat use were recorded in the chemistry of otoliths respectively as either no change or an increase in strontium with age or size (Fig. 1; Kraus & Secor 2004a). In this system, juvenile white perch inhabit primarily shoal areas (shallow littoral habitats from the shoreline to depths of 2 m; Mansueti 1964, Setzler-Hamilton 1991). The Patuxent River estuary has a relatively stable salinity gradient along its axis, and the habitat structure (i.e. sediment composition and emergent vegetation), prey, and predators of juvenile white perch are different between tidal freshwater and brackish environments (Ulanowicz & Neilson 1974, Boesch 1977, Odum 1988, Wagner 1999). Therefore, these areas represent the primary large-scale habitat types of juveniles, and otolith chronologies of strontium that primarily reflect changes in environmental salinity can be used to infer use of these habitats by individual white perch.

By analyzing the region of the otolith that represented the first year of life (from the core to the first annular mark), the strontium microprobe assay allowed us to estimate the fraction of adult white perch that utilized either freshwater or brackish juvenile habitats. Gillanders & Kingsford (1996) proposed this same approach to understand the contribution of estuaries to offshore reef-fish populations, and Yamashita et al. (2000) applied this method to compare the relative recruitment of a flatfish from different juvenile habitats in 2 years. By counting annuli in otoliths, we further classified adults by year of hatching (i.e. year-class). Like many temperate fishes, white perch have large fluctuations (over 100-fold) in juvenile abun-

Fig. 1. *Morone americana*. Representative elemental fingerprints of brackish (left) and freshwater (right) juvenile white perch from the Patuxent River estuary, MD, USA. (a) Quantitative electron microprobe measurements of Sr/Ca (mmol mol⁻¹) at several evenly spaced points along transects (arrows) from the core area of the otolith (early life) to the margin (time of capture). Horizontal dotted line shows the criterion (2 mmol mol⁻¹) for distinguishing between time spent in brackish (Sr/Ca > 2) or freshwater (Sr/Ca < 2) habitats. (b) X-ray maps of relative strontium concentration and typical transect orientation (arrows) on white perch otolith sections. Relative strontium concentration can be interpreted on a scale from blue (low Sr) to green and yellow (high Sr).
Baseline nursery value estimate was simply a function of the total area of juvenile habitat. The most remarkable part of this analysis was the significant reversal of order in nursery value rank between dominant year-classes and other year-classes. Dominant year-classes (3 years with the highest survey estimates of juvenile abundance: 1993, 1995, and 1996) represented two-thirds of the adult sample with 96.3% of individuals originating from the brackish juvenile habitat, and in this category, brackish habitat nursery value (i.e., contribution to adults standardized by nursery area) was significantly higher than in tidal freshwater (Fig. 2). Note that these dominant year-classes were also evident from the age composition of adult samples. By comparison, the remainder of the adult sample was comprised of 8 year-classes (1989, 1991, 1992, 1994, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000) with 85.2% of individuals originating from the brackish juvenile habitat, and in this category, freshwater habitat nursery value was significantly higher than in the brackish habitat (Fig. 2). Based upon analysis of the independent surveys of juveniles (Kraus & Secor 2004b), this reversal was primarily due to a decrease in brackish juvenile abundance between categories, rather than an increase in freshwater juvenile abundance.

We recognize that a more rigorous test of the nursery-role hypothesis would compare more than 2 habitats (Beck et al. 2001), and with white perch other...
habitats to consider would represent smaller divisions of freshwater and brackish littoral environments. Still, in this relatively simple application, the reversal in the rank order of nursery value for habitats between dominant year-classes and other year-classes presents a conundrum for the nursery-role hypothesis. The high proportion in the adult sample (92.6% overall) indicated that adult abundance was driven almost exclusively by individuals that originated from brackish juvenile habitat. Therefore, in the total sample of adults and in dominant year-classes, nursery value was higher for the brackish habitat, implying that this habitat should receive higher management and conservation priority. By comparison, in normal year-classes, there was a significant increase in the proportion of adults originating from freshwater juvenile habitat, and some of these year-classes were represented exclusively by individuals that originated from freshwater juvenile habitats (Kraus & Secor 2004b). This result (Fig. 2b) indicates that tidal freshwater may be critical for maintaining a minimum population level during protracted episodes with poor environmental conditions that lead to low recruitment. In addition, the persistence of dominant year-classes (which are primarily brackish in origin) in overlapping generations of adults provides a complementary mechanism for population growth (i.e. the storage effect; Warner & Chesson 1985). Thus, both habitats function in concert to regulate population dynamics, complicating attempts to establish priority by simply ranking nursery values.

The difficulty in applying the nursery-role concept in this case is partially due to the lack of recognition that habitat use and/or productivity of different habitats may vary significantly on inter-annual or longer time scales. Fishes that have high fecundity, low survival in early life and overlapping generations of adults (iteroparity) often exhibit infrequent anomalously abundant year-classes (i.e. the dominant year-class effect; Hjort 1914, Winemiller & Rose 1992). These dominant year-classes can have a profound effect on the standing crop biomass of adults, and for white perch, the typical juvenile patterns of distribution, abundance, and habitat-specific productivity may be altered significantly by dominant year-classes. The possibility that the dominant year-class effect may produce an analogous situation for juvenile habitats in other species is a point that we believe deserves more attention.

Detrimental impacts to littoral environments from canalization by construction of shoreline bulkheads, land reclamation, and dredging are a potential issue for white perch in the Patuxent River and other populations in Chesapeake Bay. Given the results of the nursery value analysis for juvenile white perch habitats, how would one decide (hypothetically) to protect a given square kilometer of freshwater habitat over an equivalent amount of brackish habitat (or vice versa)? We assert that the nursery-role concept provides clear evidence that tidal freshwater habitats should receive conservation priority. Although the absolute contribution of tidal freshwater juvenile habitats to adults is small, this habitat provides a more consistent reproductive subsidy, even during episodes when the contribution from brackish habitats is relatively small or negligible. Further, as measured by nursery value, the impact of eliminating a square kilometer of tidal freshwater littoral habitat would have a disproportionately greater impact than the same action in brackish habitat. This is true because the highest overall nursery value was observed in tidal freshwater habitats during normal years (4.5% km⁻²), and the sum of nursery values across dominant and normal years was greater in freshwater (5.6% km⁻²) than brackish (5.3% km⁻²) habitats. The disparity in size between juvenile white perch habitats was the primary determinant of this result, and in situations where habitats are more equivalent in size (and nursery value still exhibits significant inter-annual variability), the question of assigning conservation priority to different habitats would be far more complicated.

This comparison of nursery value between white perch habitats in the Patuxent River estuary is only one example, and future research to trace fish movements from otolith chemistry will certainly provide data for more rigorous tests of the nursery-role hypothesis. Despite potential problems with the current state of the nursery-role hypothesis, Beck et al.’s (2001) principal contribution was cogently expressing the need to understand connectivity between the habitats of different life stages in marine and estuarine organisms. In our opinion, research in this area of ecology holds the most promise for new insight in spatial population dynamics and for facilitating nursery habitat management and conservation.
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